AVİM Commentary • No: 2026 / 27 • April

THE CHURCH'S POLITICAL OPPOSITION IN ARMENIA AND THE DIFFERENCES OF OPINION IT CREATES IN THE DIASPORA

Selenay Erva YALÇIN

Analyst

This is the English translation of a Turkish language article that was originally published by AVİM on 18 February 2026.

In recent times, as the tension in Armenia between the Nikol Pashinyan government and the Church administration in Etchmiadzin remains ongoing, the Church administrations overtly political behavior that violates the Churchs traditional role as a religious institution is drawing ever more negative reactions. It is becoming increasingly clear that this tension, which began with Bagrat Galstanians rather unpeaceful protest attempts that were initially attempted to be portrayed as the Churchs struggle for freedom, is shifting further away from the promised goal with each passing day.

As is known, the Church administration and opposition have both sided against the Pashinyan government. As we have examined on numerous occasions, the actions of the clergy within the Church administration, which are incongruent with their mission descriptions and the Armenian Constitution, have been instigated by anti-peace factions that profit off of the conflict, and attempts have been made to present them under the guise of a religious struggle. Although the protests have not yet reached the desired scale, the strong support from the Armenian Diaspora figures was evident from the outset. Recently, however, it has been observed that this powerful support from the Diaspora has begun to diminish.

Criticism within the Diaspora of the Church leaderships oppositional stance has paved the way for certain differences of opinion. For example, Greg Sarkissian, President of the Zoryan Institute and a prominent figure in the Diaspora, issued a statement expressing his view that the Church administrations appearance of being intertwined with politics and its departure from its religious duties is contrary to the requirements of the modern age. [1] This statement not only marked the first instance of individuals and institutions (whose views carry weight within the Diaspora) siding with Pashinyan in the current tension between the Church administration in Armenia and Pashinyan, but, as observed, it has also paved the way for similar divergences of opinion. A similar stance was most recently articulated by a Diaspora representative in France who is associated with militant and

extremist views.[2]

The political stance adopted by the Church administration (which even figures in the Diaspora who have consistently expressed their opposition to the Pashinyan government find incomprehensible) is attempting to create an unofficial duality within the Armenian state. The issue of the Churchs adoption of a political identity (which has no place in the current church laws in Armenia or the relevant sections of the Constitution, and furthermore is harshly rejected) has created a peculiar situation for the Church that even its greatest supporters question and disprove. Meanwhile, the Church administration, despite the deepening of these disagreements and the fact that even its strongest supporters find Pashinyan to be justified, is complicit in the provocations of international institutions and organizations that thrive on the chaos, and continues to maintain its political stance.

The fact that the Churchs influence in Armenia serves as a unifying force, and that clergy have a duty (at least within the Armenian context) to contribute to this unity, are key reasons why the Diaspora has criticized the Church administration in the current conflict. In addition to the risk of creating divisions within the state, the Churchs use of its influence (which holds a significant place in terms of social identity) for political purposes has significantly altered the perspective of factions opposing Pashinyan regarding the issue. However, as previously stated, the Church administration is attempting to acquire support regarding the conflict through international institutions and organizations or third-party states. Attempts to involve international actors in a matter so closely tied to Armenias internal affairs, along with explicit calls for intervention that effectively seek to undermine Armenias sovereignty, constitute another major cause of the ideological divisions within the Diaspora.

Consequently, the Church administration has adopted a political stance opposing Pashinyan in Armenia and has acted in the hope that this stance would be endorsed by its usual supporters. However, this stance, which the Church administration currently holds and which is beginning to be seen as crossing boundaries even from the Diasporas perspective and as conflicting with the Churchs traditional mission, is leading to a gradual loss of support for the Church. This status, which has caused divisions within the Diaspora that is known for its opposition to Pashinyan, and involves seeking support from third-party states in a manner that conflicts with sovereignty, appears to be one of the final steps in the process by which the Church administration is losing its legitimacy.

**Picture: Eurasianet*

[1] Tuğçe Tecimer, Another Instance Of The Lemkin Institute's Destructive Conduct, *AVİM*, 12 February 2025, https://avim.org.tr/en/Yorum/ANOTHER-INSTANCE-OF-THE-LEMKIN-INSTITUTE-S-DESTRUCTIVE-CONDUCT.

[2] Churchs Involvement in Politics Distances it from its Unifying Mission François Devedjian, *ArmenPress*, 14 February 2026, https://armenpress.am/en/article/1242219.

About the Author :

Selenay Erva Yalçın completed her undergraduate education at Ankara Hacı Bayram Veli University Faculty of Law. She completed the traineeship program of the Center for Eurasian Studies (AVİM) at the end of 2023. In March 2025, she started working as an analyst at AVİM. She is currently pursuing her masters degree at Hacettepe University, Common Law and carries out research in genocide law and the legal evaluation of Turkish-Armenian relations.

To cite this article: YALÇIN, Selenay Erva. 2026. "THE CHURCH'S POLITICAL OPPOSITION IN ARMENIA AND THE DIFFERENCES OF OPINION IT CREATES IN THE DIASPORA." Center For Eurasian Studies (AVİM), Commentary No.2026 / 27. April 22. Accessed October 30, 2026. https://avimbulten.org/public/en/Yorum/THE-CHURCH-S-POLITICAL-OPPOSITON-IN-ARMENIA-AND-THE-DIFFERENCES-OF-OPINION-ITS-CREATES-IN-THE-DIASPORA

Süleyman Nazif Sok. No: 12/B Daire 3-4 06550 Çankaya-ANKARA / TÜRKİYE
Tel: +90 (312) 438 50 23-24 • **Fax**: +90 (312) 438 50 26
@avimorgtr
https://www.facebook.com/avrasyaincelemelerimerkezi
E-Mail: info@avim.org.tr
http://avim.org.tr

© 2009-2025 Center for Eurasian Studies (AVİM) All Rights Reserved